#### Message

From: Campbell, Rich [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=E27D0A99A96942119FF85AE2A6132062-RCAMPBEL]

Sent: 7/11/2018 8:26:06 PM

To: Blake, Ellen [Blake.Ellen@epa.gov]; Brush, Jason [Brush.Jason@epa.gov]; Ziegler, Sam [Ziegler.Sam@epa.gov]

CC: PerezSullivan, Margot [PerezSullivan.Margot@epa.gov]
Subject: RE: 3pm deadline -- Harpers fact checking on Rosemont

No additional comment here, except to echo Jason's thoughts on the matter: last we heard from the Corps, they were not enamored with permit.

From: Blake, Ellen

Sent: Wednesday, July 11, 2018 12:40 PM

To: Brush, Jason <Brush.Jason@epa.gov>; Ziegler, Sam <Ziegler.Sam@epa.gov>; Campbell, Rich

<Campbell.Rich@epa.gov>

**Cc:** PerezSullivan, Margot <PerezSullivan.Margot@epa.gov> **Subject:** RE: 3pm deadline -- Harpers fact checking on Rosemont

### Margot,

You should know that the Rosemont issue is hot right now. The RA will be meeting with the project proponent in Arizona at the end of August, and it may be to discuss our 2017 comment letter. The description by Harper's of the 2017 comments is factually correct, but you may want to check with Alexis on before getting back to the magazine.

# **Deliberative Process / Ex. 5**

EPA routinely reviews and provide comments on draft 404 permits authorizing discharges of dredged or fill material at specific sites in waters of the United States. Further, under CWA § 404(c), EPA can restrict, prohibit, deny, or withdraw discharge sites for dredged or fill material if the discharge will have unacceptable adverse effects on municipal water supplies, shellfish beds and fishery areas, wildlife, or recreational areas. Thoughts, Rich?

Ellen

Ellen Blake
Water Division
U.S. EPA Region 9
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105
Tel: (415) 972-3496
blake.ellen@epa.gov

The information contained in this message, including any attachments hereto, may be privileged, confidential and/or exempt from disclosure under applicable laws. It is intended for the recipient only. If you are an agency employee or

consultant, please consult with the sender prior to disclosing the contents of this message to third parties. If you have received this transmission in error, please notify the sender by reply email and delete the message and any attachments.

From: Brush, Jason

Sent: Wednesday, July 11, 2018 11:47 AM

To: Blake, Ellen < Blake. Ellen@epa.gov >; Ziegler, Sam < Ziegler. Sam@epa.gov >

**Cc:** PerezSullivan, Margot < <u>PerezSullivan.Margot@epa.gov</u>> **Subject:** 3pm deadline -- Harpers fact checking on Rosemont

Importance: High

# **Deliberative Process / Ex. 5**

# Jason A. Brush

Supervisor, Tribal Water Section
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9
75 Hawthorne Street (WTR-3-4)
San Francisco, CA 94105

desk: 415.972.3483

From: PerezSullivan, Margot

Sent: Wednesday, July 11, 2018 11:35 AM

To: Hillenbrand, John < Hillenbrand.John@epa.gov >; Harris-Bishop, Rusty < Harris-Bishop.Rusty@epa.gov >; Jessop, Carter

<JESSOP.CARTER@EPA.GOV>; Brush, Jason <Brush.Jason@epa.gov>

Subject: Fwd: Harpers fact checking?

Hi John, Rusty, Carter & Jason

The settlement was in 2015, but the NOV was in 2011. I can send information from the web on the settlement.

There's also refer nice to our veto power. Not sure if Jason and Carter can weigh in on that.

Margot Perez-Sullivan

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

D: 415.947.4149 C: 415.412.1115

E: perezsullivan.margot@epa.gov

## Begin forwarded message:

From: Stephanie McFeeters < stephanie@harpers.org>

Date: July 11, 2018 at 11:16:03 AM PDT

To: "PerezSullivan, Margot" < PerezSullivan.Margot@epa.gov>

Cc: "Zito, Kelly" <<u>ZITO.KELLY@epa.gov</u>> Subject: Re: Harpers fact checking?

Hi Margot,

Thanks for your email. Yes, I'm fact checking an article about Arizona copper mines by Mort Rosenblum, running in the September issue of Harper's Magazine. The piece looks largely at the Rosemont and

Resolution projects, and also mentions Asarco's Hayden copper smelter, and there a couple things that have to do with the EPA that I'd like to run by you to check that they're accurate.

In 2011, the Environmental Protection Agency found that Asarco's copper smelter in Hayden had been continuously emitting illegal amounts of dangerous air pollutants, including arsenic and lead, is that right? The smelter is now a Superfund site and Asarco is required to spend \$150 million to install new technology to reduce emissions, is that right?

We mention that the Rosemont project is waiting on a Clean Water Act permit from the US Army Corps of Engineers, and that the Environmental Protection Agency has the power to veto the permit, is that right?

We also mention that the EPA issued an analysis of the Rosemont project in November 2017 that emphasized its longstanding and serious doubts about the project and the scientific claims behind it, is that right?

The piece is closing today, so I apologize for the short timeframe, but if you could get back to me by 6pm ET that'd be much appreciated.

Best, Stephanie

On Wed, Jul 11, 2018 at 1:49 PM, PerezSullivan, Margot < PerezSullivan.Margot@epa.gov> wrote:

Hi Stephanie,

I understand you have some questions for a story? Please send them to me, thanks!

Margot Perez-Sullivan U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

D: <u>415.947.4149</u> C: 415.412.1115

E: perezsullivan.margot@epa.gov

\_\_

Stephanie McFeeters Assistant Editor Harper's Magazine 666 Broadway, 11th Floor New York, NY 10012 212-420-5732